Relationship between Morphology and Conductivity of Block-Copolymer Based Battery Separators
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Abstract

Nanoporous battery separators were made by blending a polystyrene-\textit{block}-polyethylene-\textit{block}-polystyrene copolymer (SES) and polystyrene (PS) homopolymers, casting films of the blend, and selectively dissolving the homopolymer. The efficacy of the separators thus obtained was determined by measurement of the ionic conductivity of separators soaked in 1M lithium hexafluorophosphate in
ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v, Novolyte Technologies, Inc.), a standard lithium battery electrolyte. We focus on the effect of chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer on separator morphology and ion transport. In highly porous separators with a nominal pore volume fraction of 0.43, conductivity peaked at $\alpha = 0.22$, where values as high as 0.39 mS cm$^{-1}$ were achieved ($\alpha$ is the molecular weight of the PS homopolymer normalized by that of the PS block in the SES copolymer). Nitrogen adsorption experiments and scanning electron microscopy were used to determine the underpinnings of this observation. At $\alpha = 0.12$, extremely small pores with low surface area are formed. Increasing $\alpha$ to 0.22 results in a film with well-connected nanoscale pores. A further increase in $\alpha$ to 2.02 results in films with micron-sized pores that are not effective for ion transport.

1. Introduction

Nanoporous separators used in lithium ion technology are presently of considerable interest in spite of the fact that they are an inactive component of the battery. In most cases, the separators are composed of inert semi-crystalline polyolefins such as polyethylene or polypropylene. A liquid electrolyte contained in the pores is responsible for ion transport in the battery. Although these polyolefin materials only cost about 1.30 $ kg$^{-1}$, the cost of a typical battery separator is in the vicinity of 120-240 $ kg$^{-1} [1]. This large increase in price is mainly due to the complex and carefully controlled processing steps used to generate the porous structure within the separator.

There are two different approaches for generating pores in battery separators: wet processes and dry processes. In wet processes, a membrane comprising a phase-separated mixture of an amorphous and a semi-crystalline polyolefin is immersed in a solvent at room temperature. Semi-crystalline polyolefins are typically soluble at elevated temperatures in the vicinity of the melting temperature. During solvent treatment only the amorphous polyolefin is solvated and removed, thus leaving behind a porous semi-crystalline structure [2-4]. In addition, films made by wet processes are stretched either before or after extraction to increase porosity, resulting in slightly-oriented pore structures. In dry processes, pores are produced entirely by stretching semi-crystalline polyolefin films. Pores are generated because the
The deformation tends to be localized in the amorphous regions of the semi-crystalline polyolefins. The pores obtained by dry processes tend to be straight and oriented perpendicular to the plane of the film. Uniaxially-oriented films produced from dry methods have high tensile strengths only in the stretching direction, while films produced using the wet method typically have high tensile strength in all directions. The balance of tensile strength is not necessarily advantageous as tensile strength is primarily important for roll-to-roll battery manufacturing wherein films are pulled along one axis only [2]. Separators made via dry processes exhibit significantly lower tortuosities than those made via wet processes. Typically, separators made by dry processes are better suited for high power density applications, while those made by wet processes are better suited for long battery life applications. This is because the straight pores in separators made from the dry process allow a more direct path for ions to travel (higher power density), while separators made through the wet process are more tortuous and can suppress dendritic lithium that may form on the graphite anode (longer life) [4]. The uniformity of the resulting pore structures is crucial for battery performance. Non-uniform pore structures will lead to non-uniform current distributions during battery operation. Defects in the separator can lead to catastrophic failure of batteries.

In conventional wet processes, the extent of phase separation in the membrane prior to the pore formation step is determined entirely by non-equilibrium effects [5-9]. This is because phase-separated structures that are created by blending immiscible substances above their melting temperatures (e.g. oil and water) will continue to coarsen until the heavier phase settles to the bottom of the container. In the case of polymers, this process is extremely slow due to slow molecular motion [10-12] and it is thus feasible to trap phase-separated structures with characteristic length scales ranging from nanometers to microns. However, small changes in the processing conditions can lead to large changes in the phase-separated morphology which, in turn, affects pore structure. Since driving forces for phase-separation in polymers depends crucially on the molecular weight of the components [13, 14], small changes in the molecular weight distributions of the amorphous and semi-crystalline components can also result in alterations of the pore structure [15-18]. This is a significant problem because commercial approaches for
synthesizing polyolefins often lead to very broad molecular weight distributions. In this paper we describe a new wet process that utilizes blends anionically synthesized model block copolymers and homopolymers with relatively narrow molecular weight distributions to address these problems.

The membranes studied in this paper are composed of a polystyrene-

block-polyethylene-

block-polystyrene (SES) copolymer. The driving forces that lead to the formation of periodic microphase-separated structures in block copolymers are well-established [19-21]. The immiscibility of polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene (PE) results in phase-separation, but the covalent bond between the PS and PE chains restricts the size of the phase-separated domains to molecular dimensions (typically 10-50 nm). The size of the domains is governed by chain length, whereas the geometry of the microphases (e.g. lamellar or cylindrical) is governed by the PS-to-PE ratio. Depending on the balance between thermodynamic and kinetic driving forces, the PE crystals can either be trapped within the microphases or break out of them, as quantified by Register, Ryan, and coworkers [22-29]. In the first step of our process, a non-porous membrane is made by solvent-casting a mixture of SES and PS. In the simplest case, the PS homopolymer simply swells the PS microphase of the block copolymer. Selective dissolution of PS (which is amorphous) results in the formation of nanoscale pores in a polyethylene matrix. There are two crucial differences between the present process and those formed in conventional wet processes. First, the phase-separated morphology that is the basis for the porous structure of the final membrane is at equilibrium and thus strict control of processing conditions during the first film forming step is not essential. Second, the pores are naturally lined with PS chains because of the structure of the SES copolymer. Since PS is slightly more polar than PE, it may lead to more complete filling of the porous structure with the electrolyte, which is especially of concern for structures with small-diameter pores [30, 31]. Although the functioning separators in this work are comprised entirely of the SES copolymer, this paper focuses on the role of the sacrificial PS homopolymers. In particular, we show that choosing the correct molecular weight of the sacrificial PS homopolymer to blend with the SES copolymer is crucial for obtaining membranes with well-connected pore structures.
While the present study is primarily motivated by fundamental aspects of the relationship between morphology and ion transport, the membranes described herein may also be of practical interest. Material costs of PE-containing block copolymers are about an order of magnitude higher than those of PE homopolymers. However, the simplicity of the proposed processing steps has the potential to reduce the overall cost of the finished separators.

The proposed approach of creating nanoporous block copolymer films has been used by others for a wide range of applications ranging from water filtration to drug delivery [32-36]. Phillip et al. generated monolithic nanoporous materials by synthesizing poly(DL-lactide)-block-polydimethylsiloxane-block-polystyrene, shear aligning the polymer, and selectively etching out the lactide block [32]. They generated membranes with well-defined cylindrical pores with diameters of about 15 nm and found that gas flow through the membranes followed Knudsen diffusion, while liquid flow obeyed the well-established Hagen-Poiseuille equation. Uehara et al. created porous films by exposing polystyrene-block-polyethylene copolymer films to fuming nitric acid [33]. Nitric acid selectively etches the PS microphase, which enables control of the pore diameter by adjusting exposure time. This enabled a systematic study of the effect of pore size on the diffusion of glucose and albumin. Yang et al. obtained films by spin-coating blends of homopolymer poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and polystyrene-block-poly(methyl methacrylate) [34, 35]. The PMMA homopolymer was selectively dissolved, leaving behind cylindrical pores with a diameter of 15 nm. The diameter of the holes was reduced by deposition of gold inside the holes. This enabled a systematic study of the effect of pore size on drug release. Zhou et al. made films comprising polyisoprene (PI), PS, and a polyisoprene-block-polystyrene copolymer [36]. The concentrations of the components were chosen so that a bicontinuous microemulsion morphology was obtained. Crosslinking the PI chains enabled selective dissolution of the PS homopolymer, leaving pores with average diameters of approximately 45 nm. By comparing the conductivity of the membrane swollen with an ionic liquid with that of the pure ionic liquid, Zhou et al. concluded that their membrane had a tortuosity factor of about 2.
2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis and characterization of polymers

A polystyrene-block-polybutadiene (SB) copolymer was synthesized by anionic polymerization using sec-butyl lithium as the initiator [37]. The living chains were coupled using dibromoethane to produce a symmetric SBS triblock copolymer [38]. The use of a nonpolar solvent (cyclohexane) results in polybutadiene chains with approximately 93% 1,4-addition. SBS was saturated using p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide in the presence of equimolar tri-n-propyl amine [39]. Homopolymer PS was also synthesized by anionic polymerization using sec-butyl lithium as the initiator. The molecular weights of the PS and PE blocks for the SES copolymer were 15 and 82 kg mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, and the PE volume fraction, \(\phi_{PE,BCP}\), was 0.77 (in the melt state at 140 °C). The melt densities used for PE, PS, and SES are 0.78, 0.97, and 0.83 g cm\(^{-3}\), respectively, at 140 °C [40]. Six different PS homopolymers were synthesized with molecular weights ranging from 1.8 kg mol\(^{-1}\) to 30 kg mol\(^{-1}\). The molecular weight of the PS block of the SBS copolymer was obtained by extracting an aliquot of the reaction mixture during synthesis prior to butadiene addition. The PS molecular weight was measured on a Viscotek GPC Max VE-2001 equipped with a TDA 302 triple-detector system calibrated using PS standards with tetrahydrofuran (THF) as the eluent. The volume fractions of each block of the SBS copolymer and the ratio of 1-2 versus 1-4 vinyl addition in the polybutadiene (PB) blocks of each copolymer were determined using \(^1\)H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (CDCl\(_3\), 25 °C). High temperature NMR (toluene-d\(_8\), 90 °C) was used to ensure the complete saturation of the vinyl groups in the polybutadiene block of the SES copolymer. The polydispersity indices of the homopolymers were less than 1.06. The coupling reaction used to synthesize the triblock was not perfect resulting in 76% triblock and 24% diblock. The polydispersity indices of the two populations of chains were about 1.02.

To remove saturation reagents, SES copolymers were precipitated in methanol, redissolved in o-xylene at 100 °C, and then washed in a separatory funnel with excess deionized water. This procedure
was repeated three times. The polymer was then dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 2 days. PS homopolymers were purified by precipitation in methanol. The homopolymers were then redissolved in benzene and precipitated two more times, filtered through a 0.2 µm filter, and freeze-dried in a lyophilizer (Millrock LD85).

2.2 Film preparation

Films of SES/PS blends were prepared using a custom-built solvent caster consisting of a doctor blade to control film thickness and a heated stage to control film temperature. The caster was located in an argon glovebox with a solvent trap. The top surface of the solvent caster consists of a porous aluminum vacuum plate, upon which fresh sheets of aluminum foil are applied to create pristine substrates. Solutions of SES, PS, and o-xylene were prepared at 120 °C and doctor-bladed onto aluminum foil at 80 °C. The smoothness and thickness of the films depended mainly on the SES concentration, and 0.05 g SES per mL o-xylene was used to create the films in this study. After drying the film on the caster for 15 minutes at 80 °C, the films were further dried at 80 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 hours. The aluminum foil was separated from the polymer film by immersion in 1M hydrochloric acid. Resultant free-standing films were dried in a vacuum oven for 4 hours, and washed in excess tetrahydrofuran (THF) at room temperature. This resulted in the complete removal of the PS homopolymer. Films were washed in methanol before a final drying step (25 °C in a vacuum oven for 4 hours) produced the porous separators. In all cases, the difference in mass of the films, measured before and after the THF-washing and subsequent drying steps, was within experimental error of the mass of homopolymer PS added in the first step (+/- 2%). We thus define the nominal void fraction, $\phi_v$, as the fraction of volume occupied by the PS homopolymer in the SES/PS mixture prior to dissolution. $\phi_v$ is calculated from the composition of the films and densities of PE, PS, and SES at 140 °C (same densities as in section 2.1). Our estimate of $\phi_v$ does not account for changes in volume due to crystallization of PE, vitrification of PS, or changes in the pore geometry that may arise during cooling. We define $\alpha$ as the
ratio of the molecular weight of the PS homopolymer to that of the PS in the block copolymer (the latter is 15 \text{ kg mol}^{-1}). For the blends at any given $\phi_v$, the same mass of PS homopolymer is added regardless of $\alpha$. The nanoporous films used in this study are characterized by two parameters: $\phi_v$ and $\alpha$.

The properties of the films thus produced are compared with a commercially available Celgard® 2400 membrane, a polypropylene battery separator made using the dry process. We assume that $\phi_v = 0.37$ for the Celgard® 2400 membrane, as specified by the manufacturer in 2005.

2.3 Ionic conductivity measurements

Conductivity measurements were performed using Swagelok cells with polished electrodes having a diameter of 22.2 mm. Polymer samples were cut out using a 22.2 mm diameter punch, weighed, and then placed into a standard lithium battery electrolyte solution of 1M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF$_6$) in ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v, Novolyte Technologies, Inc.) for at least 2 days. The swollen films were placed in the Swagelok cells and the impedance was measured using a potentiostat (Bio-Logic VMP3) over a frequency range from 1 MHz to 500 mHz at a peak-to-peak amplitude voltage of 10 mV. Conductivity, $\sigma$, were calculated using the equation $\sigma = \frac{l}{AR_b}$, where $A$ is the electrode area, $l$ is the measured sample thickness, and $R_b$ is the bulk resistance determined from the intersection of the impedance data with the real axis on the Nyquist plot. For samples with higher resistance, the sample resistance was taken as the low frequency minimum of semi-circles obtained in the Nyquist plot. All conductivity measurements were taken at room temperature. The thickness of the samples, $l$, used in the conductivity calculations was measured using a micrometer after the impedance spectroscopy measurements were completed. This thickness was about 10% less than that of the dry electrolyte films due to effects such as plastic deformation under the applied stress in the conductivity cell. Electrolyte uptake measurements were made by blotting electrolyte-swollen films and weighing them after conductivity and film thickness measurements. The volume fraction of electrolyte in the film, $\phi_E$. 
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was calculated from the known weights of the dry film and the electrolyte uptake, using the room temperature densities of the polymer \([41]\) and the electrolyte \(\rho_{\text{elec}} = 1.26 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\), \(\rho_{\text{poly}} = 0.96 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\) for the block copolymer, and \(\rho_{\text{poly}} = 0.78 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\) for Celgard\textsuperscript{®} 2400), ignoring the possibility of non-ideal mixing between SES and the electrolyte. \(\phi_E\) is \(\frac{(m_{\text{swollen}} - m_{\text{dry}})(1 - \phi_V)}{\rho_{\text{elec}}(m_{\text{dry}} / \rho_{\text{poly}})}\), where \(m_{\text{dry}}\) and \(m_{\text{swollen}}\) are the dry and swollen weights of the film.

### 2.4 Nitrogen physisorption experiments

Nitrogen physisorption experiments were performed using a Micromeritics TriStar II instrument. Polymer films were cut into strips and dried under vacuum for 4 hours prior to the measurements. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method was employed to calculate an internal surface area for the films \([42]\). The specific surface area, \(a_s(\text{BET})\) can be calculated using

\[
a_s(\text{BET}) = \frac{n_m^a L a_m}{m} \quad (1)
\]

where \(L\) is Avogadro’s number, \(a_m\) is the area per molecule of a monolayer, which is 0.162 nm\(^2\) for N\(_2\), \(m\) is the mass of the absorbent, and \(n_m^a\) is the monolayer capacity. The monolayer capacity was calculated by fitting data to the linear BET equation,

\[
\frac{p}{n^a(p^o - p)} = \frac{1}{n_m^a C} + \frac{C - 1}{n_m^a C} \frac{p}{p^o} \quad (2)
\]

where \(p\) is the equilibrium pressure, \(p^o\) is the saturation pressure, \(C\) is a fitting parameter related to the enthalpy of adsorption, and \(n^a\) is the measured volume of nitrogen adsorbed. Parameters \(C\) and \(n_m^a\) were obtained by fitting the data obtained at low \(p/p^o\) values. Further interpretation of physisorption data was based on IUPAC recommendations \([43]\).
2.5 Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken using a Hitachi S-5000 Scanning Electron Microscope. Cast films were cryofractured in liquid nitrogen, loaded onto a brass stub using carbon tape, and sputter coated with Au/Pd before imaging.

2.6 Small angle x-ray scattering

Small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) experiments were used to characterize the morphology of the battery separators. Measurements were performed at beamline 7.3.3 at the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. Separator films were studied as cast, before and after washing with THF and methanol. Samples were stacked to a thickness of approximately 100 μm to increase scattering volume and placed between Kapton films. A silver behenate sample was used as a standard, and data were reduced using the Nika program for Igor Pro available from Jan Ilavsky at the APS. The azimuthally averaged scattering intensity, $I(q)$, is reported as a function of the scattering vector $q (q = 4\pi \sin(\theta/2)/\lambda$, where $\theta$ is the scattering angle and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident beam).

2.7 Error analysis

Most of the properties reported here are mean values based on measurements on four or five independent samples. The reported error bars represent the standard deviation of the measurements. We do not report error estimates in the BET analysis because only one experiment was run (sample quantity limitations).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structure and morphology characterization

In Figure 1a we plot the electrolyte volume fraction, $\phi_e$, versus void volume fraction, $\phi_v$, for films with selected values of $\alpha$. Also included in Figure 1a is our data obtained from Celgard® 2400.
The dashed line in Figure 1a, a line through the origin with slope = 1, represents the expectation that $\phi_e = \phi_v$. It is evident that in most cases, $\phi_e \approx \phi_v$ (within experimental error). This implies that the nominal pore volume generated by our process is filled with electrolyte, regardless of void fraction and homopolymer chain length. The electrolyte uptake of our separators is similar to that of Celgard® 2400 when void fraction is matched. Regardless of $\alpha$, $\phi_e$ increases with increasing $\phi_v$. The only significant exception is at $\alpha = 0.12$ and $\phi_v = 0.43$, where $\phi_e$ is significantly lower than expected. In most cases, the data in Figure 1a lie slightly above the $\phi_e = \phi_v$ line. This is probably due to the elasticity of the separator. We plot $\phi_e$ as a function of $\alpha$ in Figure 1b at fixed $\phi_v$ of 0.35 and 0.43. We focus on this range of $\phi_v$ because current commercial separators have void volume fractions in this range. The lines in Figure 1b represent the expected value of $\phi_e$ for $\phi_v$ values of 0.35 and 0.43. It is clear that $\phi_e$ is a weak function of $\alpha$ when $\alpha$ exceeds 0.12. In other words, the amount of electrolyte in our films is not affected by the molecular weight of the sacrificial homopolymer above $\alpha = 0.12$.

In Figure 2 we show typical results of nitrogen physisorption experiments, where the volume of nitrogen absorbed in the separator, $n^*$, is plotted as a function of relative pressure, $p/p^*$, during nitrogen adsorption and desorption. We show data for selected values of $\alpha$ at $\phi_v = 0.43$. The peak seen at high $p/p^*$ in Figure 2 is expected in the case of slit-like pores. The hysteresis in adsorption and desorption indicates the presence of nanoscale pores. As $\alpha$ increases from 0.22 (Figure 2a) to 0.34 (Figure 2b), we see a significant increase in the amount of N$_2$ adsorbed, which indicates an increase in nanoporosity. Further increasing $\alpha$ to 0.94 results in a decrease in the amount of N$_2$ adsorbed. Finally, at $\alpha = 2.02$, we see very low amounts of nitrogen adsorption (Figure 2d), which suggests the presence of very few nanopores in the membranes. The data in Figure 2 indicate that the internal pore structure in our membranes at fixed $\phi_v$ is a sensitive function of $\alpha$ (similar data were obtained at other values of $\phi_v$).
In Figure 3, the internal surface area, $a_s$(BET), calculated using equations 1 and 2, is plotted versus $\alpha$ for films with $\phi_v = 0.35$ and 0.43 (same sample set is shown in Figure 1b). We find that $a_s$(BET) is peaked in the vicinity of $\alpha = 0.43$ for both void fractions. These data indicate that separators with intermediate values of $\alpha$ have more accessible pores than those made with either very small values of $\alpha$ (e.g. 0.12) or very large values of $\alpha$ (e.g. 2.02).

Typical position-space images of the pore structure in our films, obtained by SEM, are presented in Figure 4, where micrographs obtained at $\phi_v = 0.43$ are shown. The cross-sectional view in Figure 4a shows that $\alpha = 0.12$ results in a very fine porous structure. Larger nanoscale pores can be seen in the film cross-sections at intermediate $\alpha$ values of 0.22 and 0.43 (Figures 4b and 4c). Figure 4d shows an interesting morphology of well-defined pores at $\alpha = 2.03$, but the pore diameters are in the micron range. The SEM data thus confirms our earlier conclusion regarding the reduction in internal surface area in the $\alpha = 2.02, \phi_v = 0.43$ membrane.

### 3.2 Ionic conductivity

In Figure 5a we plot ionic conductivity, $\sigma$, versus $\alpha$ for the $\phi_v = 0.43$ membranes. Membrane conductivity peaks at $\alpha = 0.22$ with a value of 0.39 mS cm$^{-1}$, which is comparable to that of Celgard® 2400. The conductivity peak in Figure 5a is highly asymmetric. It decreases precipitously when $\alpha$ decreases from 0.22 to 0.12. In contrast, the conductivity decreases gradually as $\alpha$ is increased from 0.22 to 2.02. Quite surprisingly, the ionic conductivity at $\alpha = 0.12$ and 2.02 are similar. Another feature worth noting is that the size of the error bars for the $\phi_v = 0.43$ membranes are relatively small. In Figure 5b, we plot $\sigma$ versus $\alpha$ for the values of $\phi_v$ less than 0.43. At $\phi_v = 0.16$, $\sigma = 0.03$ mS cm$^{-1}$ regardless of the value of $\alpha$. This value is considerably smaller than that obtained at $\phi_v = 0.43$. It is likely that the pore structure is well connected at $\phi_v = 0.43$ but poorly connected at $\phi_v = 0.16$. Increasing $\phi_v$ to 0.29
and 0.35 results in an increase in $\sigma$, as seen in Figure 5b. There is, however, a concomitant increase in the error associated with the measurement of $\sigma$. The error bars decrease when $\phi_v$ is increased to 0.43. One explanation for the observed changes in the error bars is that it is difficult to obtain reproducible pore structures in the cross-over region between poorly connected ($\phi_v = 0.16$, Figure 5b) and well-connected ($\phi_v = 0.43$, Figure 5a) pores. The dashed lines in Figure 5b represent average values of $\sigma$ for each of the $\phi_v$ values. The conductivity data are summarized in Figure 6, which shows the dependence of $\sigma$ on $\phi_v$ and $\alpha$. At $\phi_v = 0.16$, 0.29, and 0.35, we show the average value of $\sigma$. In this range, we find the expected trend of increasing conductivity with increasing $\phi_v$. At $\alpha = 0.22$, this trend continues. Clearly, the $\alpha = 0.22$, $\phi_v = 0.43$ membrane exhibits the highest conductivity of the block copolymer separators obtained thus far. This conductivity is comparable to that of Celgard® 2400, which is also shown in Figure 6. It is worth noting, however, that the Celgard membrane performance is more impressive because it has a lower void fraction than the optimized block copolymer membrane. This is, perhaps, not surprising, as the Celgard technology has been optimized for several years and is made using the dry process which leads to a reduction in tortuosity. In future work we will optimize the block copolymer-based separators and examine the possibility of outperforming current separators.

### 3.3 SAXS

The SAXS profile of pure SES copolymer at 140 °C, shown in Figure 7, exhibits a broad primary peak at $q = q^* = 0.14$ nm$^{-1}$ and a shoulder at higher $q$ values. The width of the primary peak indicates that the SES sample has relatively little long-range order. This may be a due to a combination of effects such as the complexity of the sample (an incompletely coupled triblock copolymer) and the processing steps used to create the sample. However, we expect the SES sample to contain hexagonally packed PS cylinders in a PE matrix, based on polystyrene-to-polyethylene volume fraction ratio of 0.23. The domain size of the morphology, $d = 2\pi / q^* = 45$ nm. The higher order shoulder is attributed to a combination
of the expected higher order peaks of the hexagonal phase at \( q = \sqrt{3}q^* \) and \( 2q^* \). In contrast, SAXS data from SES/PS mixtures generally did not contain scattering peaks that could be easily interpreted in terms of morphology, particularly at the high values of \( \phi_v \) that are of practical interest. In Figure 7, we show the scattering profiles of SES/PS blends with \( \alpha = 0.22 \) and \( \phi_v = 0.43 \) at 140 °C. The presence of a shoulder in the vicinity of \( q = q^* \) suggests the presence of a characteristic length scale of about 45 nm. The absence of well-defined peaks indicates the lack of a simple periodic morphology. SAXS profiles obtained from the SES/PS blends with \( \alpha = 0.22 \) and \( \phi_v = 0.43 \) at 25 °C before and after washing, shown in Figure 7, also contain shoulders in the vicinity of \( q = q^* \). However, these data are affected by the semicrystalline nature of the PE block in the SES copolymer which can suppress the ordering of the block copolymer [22-29]. The length scale of the pores seen in the SEM data (Figure 4b) are outside the \( q \) -window available in SAXS. The SAXS data provide weak support for the presence of microphase separation of PE and PS domains on the 45 nm length scale.

3.4 Discussion

The main observation of this study is that \( \alpha \), the normalized chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer, has a profound effect on membrane conductivity (Figures 5 and 6). It is likely that this
observation is a reflection of the morphology of the SES/PS film before the THF-washing step. However, based on very general arguments presented by Shull [44] and Leibler [45], one expects the morphology of blends of A-B-A triblock copolymers and A homopolymers to depend on $\alpha$. If $\alpha \ll 1$, then the A homopolymer is compatible with both A and B blocks and will thus be distributed uniformly in both blocks. Dissolving out the homopolymer in such a film will result in extremely small pores on the length scale of the A homopolymer (which is a very short chain) located in both A and B microdomains. On the other hand, if $\alpha \gg 1$ then the homopolymer is expected to form separate macroscopic domains. Dissolving out the homopolymer in such a film will result in macroscopic pores. The localization of A homopolymer in the A microdomains of the block copolymer is only expected over an intermediate range of values of $\alpha$. Dissolving out the homopolymer in such a film will result in nanoscale pores. This provides an explanation for the observed dependence of conductivity on $\alpha$ at $\phi_V = 0.43$, reported in Figure 5a. At $\alpha = 0.12$, the homopolymer chain length is too small and it is distributed homogeneously in the PS-rich and PE-rich microphases. Thus, when the homopolymer is extracted from this sample, a membrane with very small voids that are unrelated to the block copolymer morphology are formed (Figure 4a). In contrast, at $\alpha = 2.02$, the homopolymer chain length is too large and it macrophase-separates into micron-sized domains leading to the large pores seen in Figure 4d. One might anticipate that the pores in Figure 4d are more effective for transport than the small pores in Figure 4a. The transport measurements shown in Figures 5 and 6 indicate otherwise. The porous structures shown in both Figures 4a and 4d are equally ineffective for transport. Nanoscale pores that are effective for transport are only seen at intermediates values of $\alpha$, such as $\alpha = 0.22$ (Figure 4b) and $\alpha = 0.43$ (Figure 4c). The effect of morphology on transport is dramatic. At $\phi_V = 0.43$, the ionic conductivity of the sample with $\alpha = 0.22$ is 6 times greater that of the sample with $\alpha = 0.12$. The $a_s$(BET) trends shown in Figure 3 are qualitatively similar to the conductivity trends reported in Figure 5a. The shapes of the curves in Figures 3 and 5a are different, the former is peaked at $\alpha = 0.43$, while the latter is peaked at $\alpha = 0.22$. We do not have an explanation for these differences. It is clear that a complete understanding of
the present system requires a study of the morphology of the polymer films before washing out the homopolymer. We will address this issue in future publications.

4. Conclusions

Nanoporous battery separators were made by casting films of block copolymer/homopolymer blends and selectively removing the homopolymer. The volume occupied by homopolymer chains in cast films are thus converted into pores. This approach enabled systematic variation of the pore structure at fixed void fraction by changing the normalized chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer, $\alpha$. The efficacy of the separators thus obtained was determined by measurement of the ionic conductivity of separators soaked in a standard liquid electrolyte. In highly porous separators with a fixed pore volume fraction of 0.43, conductivity was peaked at $\alpha = 0.22$. Nitrogen adsorption and SEM were used to determine the underpinnings of this observation. At low values of $\alpha$, conductivity is low because very small, poorly connected pores are formed. At high values of $\alpha$, conductivity is low because very large pores are formed.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Electrolyte volume fraction in the separator, \( \phi_E \), as a function of a) void fraction, \( \phi_V \), for select values of the normalized chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer, \( \alpha \) and b) \( \alpha \) at \( \phi_V = 0.35 \) and 0.43. The dashed line in Figure 1a represents \( \phi_E = \phi_V \). The dashed lines in Figure 1b represent \( \phi_E = \phi_V = 0.35 \) and \( \phi_E = \phi_V = 0.43 \).

Figure 2. Results of N\(_2\) physisorption experiments. Plots of N\(_2\) adsorbed as a function of \( p/p^\circ \) where \( p \) is the equilibrium pressure, and \( p^\circ \) is the saturation pressure at void fraction, \( \phi_V = 0.43 \) for normalized chain lengths of the sacrificial homopolymer a) \( \alpha = 0.22 \) b) \( \alpha = 0.34 \) c) \( \alpha = 0.94 \) and d) \( \alpha = 2.02 \). Celgard\(^\circledast\) 2400 data are shown in Figure S1.

Figure 3. Internal surface area measured by BET, \( a_s (\text{BET}) \) as a function of the normalized chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer, \( \alpha \), for select values of void fraction, \( \phi_V \), where the dashed line represents the \( a_s (\text{BET}) \) of Celgard\(^\circledast\) 2400.

Figure 4. Scanning electron micrographs of separators with void fraction, \( \phi_V = 0.43 \) at a) \( \alpha = 0.12 \) b) \( \alpha = 0.22 \) c) \( \alpha = 0.43 \) and d) \( \alpha = 2.02 \) obtained by cryofracturing washed out films. Celgard\(^\circledast\) 2400 data are shown in Figure S2.

Figure 5. Ionic conductivity, \( \sigma \), of SES separators soaked in 1M LiPF\(_6\)/EC/DEC as a function of the normalized chain length of the sacrificial homopolymer, \( \alpha \) for a) void fraction, \( \phi_V = 0.43 \), where the dashed line represents the conductivity of Celgard\(^\circledast\) 2400 in the same electrolyte, shown for comparison, and b) \( \phi_V \) of 0.16, 0.29, and 0.35, where the dashed lines represent the average \( \sigma \) of the corresponding \( \phi_V \).
Figure 6. Ionic conductivity, $\sigma$, of SES separators soaked in 1M LiPF$_6$/EC/DEC as a function of void fraction, $\phi_v$, where $\sigma$ at $\phi_v$ of 0.16, 0.29, and 0.35 is the average value across all values of $\alpha$. $\sigma$ at $\phi_v$ = 0.43 is plotted at $\alpha$ = 0.22, 0.43, 2.02, and 0.12.

Figure 7. SAXS profile of SES copolymer and SES/PS copolymer blends before and after washing with intensity (a.u.), $I$, as a function of scattering vector, $q$ (nm$^{-1}$). $\phi_v$ is used to represent the volume fraction of PS homopolymer blended. The top profile represents the neat block copolymer. The annotations on the top profile represent the $q^*$, $\sqrt{3}q^*$, and $2q^*$ positions. The middle two profiles have homopolymer added, but the homopolymer has not been washed out. The bottom profile was taken after washing out homopolymer PS. The PS homopolymer for this blend is $\alpha$ = 0.22.
Highlights

Battery separators were made by with block copolymer / homopolymer blends. > Pores were made by selective removal of homopolymer. > Morphology and conductivity were found to depend on homopolymer molecular weight. > Conductivities obtained were comparable to commercial Celgard® 2400 membranes.
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The diagram shows the relationship between the parameter $\sigma$ (in mS cm$^{-1}$) and $\alpha$.

- The black line represents $\phi_V = 0.43$.
- The red dashed line represents Celgard ($\phi_V = 0.37$).

The data points for $\phi_V = 0.43$ show a decreasing trend with an increase in $\alpha$. The Celgard data points are also shown with a red dashed line for comparison.
Figure 7

The graph shows the correlation between intensity $I(q)$ (in arbitrary units) and wavevector $q$ (in nm$^{-1}$) for different conditions:

- $\phi_V = 0$, $T = 140 \degree C$
- $\phi_V = 0.43$, $T = 140 \degree C$, unwashed
- $\phi_V = 0.43$, $T = 25 \degree C$, unwashed
- $\phi_V = 0.43$, $T = 25 \degree C$, washed

The intensity values are plotted on a logarithmic scale.