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Other External Participants

- Roy Haggerty – OSU, mass transfer experiments and modeling
- Douglas Kent – USGS, field injection experiments, surface complexation processes
- Alan Konopka – PU, microbial ecology and microbiological heterogeneity
- Yoram Rubin – UCB, stochastic hydrology and modeling
- Roloef Versteeg – INL, geophysics and data management
- Chunmiao Zheng – UA, hydrologic modeling and geostatistics
Hanford IFC

**Science Theme** ~ *Multiscale mass transfer processes influencing sorbed contaminant migration*

**Associated Practical Issues**

1. Accurate projection of dissipation times for groundwater plumes of sorbing contaminants
   - Sorbing solutes not equal
   - Concentrations at different scales

2. Optimal delivery of remediation reactants
   - Access
   - Kinetic formation and reaction
   - Persistence

3. Practicality and effectiveness of remediation
Hanford 300 Area in 1962
300 A Waste Streams

- Sodium aluminate (to ~1956)
  - Dissolved Al cladding from rejected fuel assemblies
  - 15% NaOH, Density of 1.5

- Effluents from REDOX and PUREX process development (1944 – 1954)
  - Nitric acid solutions containing uranyl nitrate

  - Nitric acid solutions containing U and Cu

- Different grades of enriched U as well as natural and depleted U

- Primary chemical inventory in NPP and SPP
  - 37,000 – 65,000 kg of U; 265,000 kg of Cu
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Vadose Zone Release Model
Seasonal Dynamics of 300 A Uranium Plume
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Primary Objectives

- Quantify the role of mass transfer in controlling U(VI) distribution under various geochemical, hydrologic, and remedial conditions
  - Vadose zone
  - Saturated zone

- Investigate in-situ microbiologic processes that couple with mass transfer to control phosphate barrier performance and longevity

- Create enduring field experimental data sets for model and field-scale hypothesis evaluation

- Test and improve existing models of multi-reaction chemistry and multi-scale mass transfer by comparison to new, robust experimental field data

- Proactively transfer results to site for decision making and remediation
Transport Behavior (Desorption/Sorption) in < 2 mm Sediment is Kinetically Controlled

North Process Pond Pit 1 – 14 ft

Saturated Column Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Range (mm)</th>
<th>Mass Distribution (%)</th>
<th>U_Total (nmol/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobbles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 12.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>&lt; 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 - 12.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>&lt; 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 - 2.0</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 - 1.0</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>&lt; 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 - 0.5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt; 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.149 - 0.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.106 - 0.149</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt; 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.053 - 0.149</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt; 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt + Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.053</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The release of sorbed contaminant U(VI) and the adsorption of U(VI) from contaminated groundwater both show strong kinetic behavior

(Qafoku et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007)
Approaches

- Robust 3-D geostatistical characterization of the experimental domain
  - Borehole samples and downhole logging
  - Surface and cross-borehole geophysics
  - geo-, hydro-, chemo-, bio-, and U(VI)-facies
  - Correlative transfer functions with process-specific parameters

- Field experimental campaigns based on 3 hypothesis at an integrated vadose zone-saturated zone site
  - Well field sufficient to sample heterogeneities and plume evolution
  - Infiltration experiments in vadose zone
  - Passive river stage experiments in capillary fringe
  - Injection experiments in saturated zone
  - Collaborative experiments with EM-20

- Modeling of different types
  - Stochastic-deterministic
  - Various multi-scale models (multi-rate, and multiple continuum)
  - STOMP as the integrative project code
Field Site Design to Exploit Unique Site Attributes

- Variable U concentrations and speciation through vadose zone, capillary fringe, and vadose zone

- Seasonal changes in river stage
  - Groundwater composition/U(VI) distribution
  - Hydrologic gradient, porewater velocity, and flow path trajectory
  - Access to sorbed U in the deep vadose zone and capillary fringe
  - Microbial ecology of saturated and vadose zone and capillary fringe

- Extensive supporting information
  - Geologic, hydrologic, and historic data
  - Lab geochemical information (speciation, kinetics, SCM)
  - Aquifer hydrologic models
  - EM-20 tracer experiments and well field
The 300-FF-1 Operable Unit

Red = potential IFC sites
Blue = EM-20 polyphosphate injection
North Process Pond and Excavation

The North Process Pond

One of Four Excavations Sampled

South Process Pond - Pit#2

Groundwater (18 ft bgs)
Example Opportunities for Collaborative Research

- In-situ adsorption/desorption experiments of various types
- Laboratory to field comparisons
- Evaluation of geophysical methods and inversion techniques
- Mass transfer processes of different types at different scales
- Microbiology of linked groundwater-river systems of low to high transmissivity
- Geologic, hydrologic, geochemical, and biogeochemical modeling of different types
- Microbiology and geochemistry of phosphate amended systems
Materials Available to External Investigators

- Historic U(VI)-contaminated source term materials (limited)
- Contaminated U(VI) vadose zone materials whose geochemical speciation and mass transfer properties have been determined (limited)
- Uncontaminated vadose zone and aquifer sediments from various locations
- Circumneutral site groundwaters with variable U(VI), HCO₃, and Ca concentrations
- Core materials from vadose zone and aquifer experimental plots (TBC, limited)
- Aseptic samples of vadose zone and Hanford and Ringold formation aquifer sediments (TBC, limited)

* TBC = to be collected
Anticipated Outcomes

- Outstanding, multidisciplinary collaborative effort that significantly advances science
  - Characterization, experiment design, interpretation
  - Basic underpinnings of EM-20 activities

- Enduring and accessible field experiment data sets for hypothesis and model testing

- Improved linked multi-scale mass transfer/biogeochemical models for reactive contaminants

- New conceptual understanding of mass transfer processes at different scales influencing field behavior
  - Desorption, dissolution, dissipation
  - Effective reaction kinetics
  - Contaminant immobilization
Linkage to Site Remediation, Closure and Monitored Natural Attenuation

- Operational model for infusion of DOE science into site remediation and closure decisions
  - Lab to field
  - Concept to application
  - Evaluation and testing of new models and measurement techniques

- 300 A site is representative of Hanford River Corridor locations
  - Applicability of conceptual and numeric models to other locations

- Scientific context for evaluation of remediation strategies and concepts
  - MNA versus active approaches
  - Optimization strategies
  - Expectations for remediation efficiency