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Motivation & General Challenges

Objectives, Previous Work, and Approaches:

- Watershed Characterization
- Monitoring Natural Recharge at the plume scale
- Monitoring Targeted Manipulations at the local scale

Task Timetable
Motivation

Heterogeneity influences contaminant distribution, dilution, reactivity, and remediation efficacy.

Remediation Investigations benefit from:

• **Characterization of Properties** needed to guide experimental design, predict treatment sustainability, and to assess results
  – Hydraulic conductivity
  – Fracture zonation
  – Hydrogeological unit zonation
  – Sediment Geochemistry

• **Monitoring of hydrological-biogeochemical processes** that occur during system transformations;
  – electron donor distribution
  – Changes in pore fluid chemistry
  – Products: Gas, precipitates, biofilms
  – Redox zonation

1. **Hydrogeophysics**
   - Integration,
   - Inversion,
   - Uncertainty,
   - Scale

2. **Biogeophysics**: Sensitivity of geophysical methods to biogeochemical products
Geophysical Measurements:
• Are **indirect** – they measure geophysical properties over support scale of the particular technique;
• Require **petrophysical** relationships or theory to link geophysical and biogeochemical-hydrological properties;
• Often respond **non-uniquely** to properties/processes.

There is no standard **Fusion Approach** to integrate different datasets, and most of the integration work has been performed at the **local scale**.

(Tatham and McCormack, 1993)
OBJECTIVES
– Gross Watershed Characterization
– Monitor Recharge Processes
– Monitor Targeted Manipulation Processes

INVESTIGATION SUPPORT AND EXPECTED SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS
– Provide framework for interpreting transient watershed data (Task B)
– Provide insight into rates and mechanisms of geochemical and hydrological processes associated with natural episodic, seasonal, and annual recharge over field-relevant scales (Task B)
– Provide insight into spatiotemporal distribution of treatment end-products as a function of local-scale heterogeneity (Task C)
– Guide targeted treatment processes (Task C)
– Parameterize/Validate /Refine characterization and monitoring approaches (measurement suites, inversion approaches);
– Advance understanding of utility of remote datasets for monitoring both natural and manipulated processes.
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Goal #1:

Define major flow pathways.

- Investigate lateral continuity of transition zone
- Investigate origin and hydraulic properties of ‘low velocity anomaly’

Approach:

- Collect and reduce wellbore hydrogeochemical and crosshole-surface geophysical datasets (esp. seismic)
- Develop acquisition/interpretation strategies for watershed-scale characterization;
Origin of Low Velocity Anomaly: Seismic refraction profiles indicate an anomalous and laterally continuous north-dipping feature.
Method Development

Watershed Scale Joint Inversion for Transition Zone Characterization

Seismic Methods useful for delineating base of transition zone and fracture zonation

Extend Bayesian joint inversion method for use with surface seismic refraction and wellbore information to quantitatively define transition zone at watershed scale.
Goal #2: 
Refine plume distribution (nitrate)

Approach: 
Collect and Reduce 
Wellbore 
hydrogeochemical and surface geophysical datasets (esp. geoelectric)

Develop petrophysical relationships between electrical conductivity, pore fluid concentrations, and lithofacies
Previous Remote Plume Delineation

**Electronic Methods** for indicating regions of high ionic pore strength

**SOURCE AREA**

Water Quality in NT-1

- Nitrate Concentration (ppm)
- Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm)

Investigate sensitivity of electrical methods for delineating plume as function of lithofacies
TASK A: Exploring Relationships between Geophysical and Hydrogeochemical Properties

Investigations using primarily seismic, electrical, SP, and radar methods

Seismic velocity is lower in fractured transition zone relative to surrounding, more competent rock

Electrical conductivity increases with increasing nitrates in groundwater

(ORNL background groundwater TDS ~200ppm)
OBJECTIVES
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– Provide framework for interpreting transient watershed data (Task B)
– **Provide insight into rates and mechanisms of geochemical and hydrological processes associated with natural episodic, seasonal, and annual recharge over field-relevant scales** (Task B)
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Motivation:

- Recharge creates large hydraulic and geochemical gradients that disrupt equilibrium;
- Difficulty in accessing spatiotemporal impacts of recharge using only well concentration data.

Understanding the impact of natural recharge on subsurface hydrogeochemistry at the plume scales could be important for guiding decisions associated with environmental remediation and long term stewardship.
**APPROACH:**

1. **Collect Time-Lapse Datasets:**
   - Precipitation
   - Surface geophysical datasets (ERT and SP)
   - Crosshole geophysical and tracer tests
   - Wellbore geochemical (incl. isotopes), hydrological, geophysical datasets.

2. **Investigate geophysical ‘error’ associated with time-lapse datasets**

3. **Integrate** time-lapse datasets to:
   - Track recharge along identified key pathways in response to recharge events.
   - Elucidate biogeochemical transformations
OBJECTIVES
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INVESTIGATION SUPPORT AND EXPECTED SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS
– Provide framework for interpreting transient watershed data (Task B)
– Provide insight into rates and mechanisms of geochemical and hydrological processes associated with natural episodic, seasonal, and annual recharge over field-relevant scales (Task B)
– Provide insight into spatiotemporal distribution of treatment end-products as a function of local-scale heterogeneity (Task C)
– Guide targeted treatment processes (Task C)
– Parameterize/Validate site flow and transport model (Task D)
– Develop watershed-scale characterization and monitoring approaches (measurement suites, inversion approaches);
– Advance understanding of utility of remote datasets for monitoring both natural and manipulated processes across scales.
Previous Biogeoophysical Monitoring at the ORFRC

Use of time-lapse geophysical methods to:
estimate the **distribution and extent of biogeochemical transformations** associated with targeted manipulations;
to explore the **impact of heterogeneity** on the transformations.

**Denitrification**

**Area 1**: Time lapse **Radar** Estimates of Gas Production associated with Denitrification

- 2 Days
- 7 Days
- 100 Days

**Fill**

**Saprolite**

2 Days post stimulation

**Sulfate Reduction**

**Area 2**: Time-lapse changes in **SP** response coincident with sulfide production

- 0 days
- 25 days
- 46 hours post stimulation

Performed in collaboration with Jack Istok push-pull tests
MOTIVATION
- Controlled pH adjustment could precipitate greater than 90% of soluble U(VI) and Tc(VII);
- Difficult to understand spatiotemporal distribution of expected Al hydroxide precipitates and impact on flow characteristics using wellbore data alone.

TASKS
- Time-lapse biogeophysical imaging of transformations:
  - Petrophysics
  - Data acquisition and reduction
  - Characterization
  - Monitoring: wellbore, crosshole, surface
- Explore influence of heterogeneity on transformation - requires field scale characterization

Collect geophysical measurements within and between wellbores
Flow Through Column Experiments that Mimic field manipulation with:

- **GEOPHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS**
  - P and shear wave **seismic**, radar, **complex resistivity** (10^{-1}-10^{3}Hz) & SP.

- **BIOGEOCHEMICAL MEASUREMENTS**
  - Fluid and sediment geochemistry, biomass

- **HYDROGEOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS**
  - Hydraulic conductivity, porosity

*Use Lab Results to Guide Choice and Interpretation of Field Monitoring Methods.*
## Geophysical Characterization & Monitoring Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Quarter TASK</th>
<th>FY07-08</th>
<th>Year 2-3</th>
<th>Year 3-4</th>
<th>Year 4-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link Geophysical Responses to Media (Task A.1)</td>
<td>Develop geophysical-hydrogeochemical petrophysical relationships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delineate Heterogeneity and Pathways (Task A.2)</td>
<td>Gross characterization and start development of joint inversion framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor Natural Recharge Processes (Task B.3.2)</td>
<td>Geophysical error analysis and start of recharge monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor Manipulation Transformations (Task C.6)</td>
<td>Column experiments and field characterization associated with pH manipulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Project Overview**: (speaker: Jardine) 15 min

• *(Task B) Natural Attenuation*: Rates and Mechanisms along pathways and within source zones (speaker: Watson) 20 min

• *(Task C) Targeted Manipulations*: Enhanced contaminant stability of source zones (speaker: Criddle) 20 min

• *(Tasks A-C) Geophysics*: Characterization and monitoring (speaker: Hubbard) 20 min

• *(Tasks B & C) Microbiology*: Characterization and monitoring as a function of scale (speaker: Kostka) 20 min

• *(Task D) Numerical Modeling*: Multiscale flow and transport modeling, upscaling, and advanced pattern recognition (speaker: Parker) 15 min

• **Research Outcomes, Site Contributions, and Opportunities**: (speaker: Jardine) 5 min
Previous research has indicated similar trends in geophysical attribute as a function of scale.