

Homework No. 2 Solutions

Prob. 1

$$\frac{a}{W} = 0.5, \text{ as desired}$$

$$B = W/2, \text{ " "}$$

$$P_{max} < 1.1 P_Q$$

$$K_Q = \frac{P_Q}{B\sqrt{W}} \cdot Y, \quad Y = f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right) \quad \frac{a}{W} = 0.5, Y = 9.605$$

$$= \frac{100}{.05\sqrt{.10}} \cdot 9.605 = 60,747 \text{ kPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$$

$$= \underline{60.75 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}}$$

$$2.5 \left(\frac{K_Q}{\sigma_y} \right)^2 = 1.9 \text{ cm for } \sigma_y = 700 \text{ MPa} - \underline{\text{valid}}$$

$$= 7.5 \text{ cm for } \sigma_y = 350 \text{ MPa} - \underline{\text{not valid}}$$

Prob. 2

Again calculate 2.5 D :

Mat'l	β	σ_y , ksi	K_{Ic}	2.5 D	Plane strain?	$a_c \approx \left(\frac{K_{Ic}}{\beta \sigma_y} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\pi}$
A357	.100 ^{16/1.3}	45	11	0.15"	yes	0.17"
7075	.101	66.5	20	0.23"	yes	0.26"
6-4	.160	120	70	0.85	no	0.97"
4340	.283	180	100	0.77	no	0.88"

Under failure conditions, then, the aluminum alloys are in plane strain and are undesirable. Other two materials are better.

Prob. 2

- design stress is not used in K_{Ic} calculation
but is used in a_c calculation.
- Recommend 4340 for cost. (~ 10 times cheaper)
 mly go to 6-4 for higher toughness, corros. resistance
- Car would be lighter w/ 6-4
- corrosion, fabricability + COST
- could reduce thickness with 4340 to
 reduce weight but toughness could
 then be critical
- other criteria for materials can also
 be brought in