Fracture toughness and crack-resistance curve behavior in metallic glass-matrix composites

M. E. Launey,1 D. C. Hofmann,2,3 J.-Y. Suh,2 H. Kozachkov,2 W. L. Johnson,2 and R. O. Ritchie1,4,a
1Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
2Keck Laboratory of Engineering Materials, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
3Liquidmetal Technologies, Rancho Santa Margarita, California 92688, USA
4Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 6 May 2009; accepted 27 May 2009; published online 18 June 2009)

Nonlinear-elastic fracture mechanics methods are used to assess the fracture toughness of bulk metallic glass (BMG) composites; results are compared with similar measurements for other monolithic and composite BMG alloys. Mechanistically, plastic shielding gives rise to characteristic resistance-curve behavior where the fracture resistance increases with crack extension. Specifically, confinement of damage by second-phase dendrites is shown to result in enhancement of the toughness by nearly an order of magnitude relative to unreinforced glass. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3156026]

The absence of microstructure in monolithic bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) can lead to marked strain localization and rapid shear-band propagation.1,2 This effect, which causes extremely low macroscopic plastic deformability, can be devastating to mechanical performance in that properties that are limited by the extension of cracks, such as tensile ductility, toughness, and fatigue resistance, can become severely compromised. Specifically, unstable fracture can ensue in monolithic BMGs along a single shear band with essentially zero macroscopic plasticity,3,4 such that the toughness is far lower than in comparable crystalline alloys. The essential element to developing high toughness in BMGs is to prevent single shear-band failures. By introducing a second phase in form of crystalline dendrites and by matching microstructural length scales (i.e., interdendritic spacing) to the mechanical length scales (i.e., critical crack size for failure), nonlocalized plasticity in metallic glasses can be enhanced significantly.5,7 Indeed, the recent development of in situ bulk metallic glass-matrix composites has shown that provided this phase acts to arrest shear-band propagation over appropriate size scales,8–10 the problems of poor ductility, toughness, and fatigue resistance can be mitigated.

One problem here is that the new composite BMGs are undoubtedly far tougher than monolithic BMGs or some earlier BMG composites and current processing methods often cannot make section sizes large enough to meet the critical validity requirements for accurate fracture mechanics measurements, i.e., not meeting fracture mechanics requirements for valid stress intensity K- or J-dominated crack-tip fields and/or for plane-strain constraint. Indeed, many toughness measurements on BMG materials reported in the literature11–15 are inaccurate due to problems of inappropriate measurement techniques (e.g., the area under a compression stress/strain curve), absence of sharp stress concentrators (e.g., using a relatively blunt notch rather than a fatigue pre-crack) and insufficient test-sample size. Moreover, while single-value measurements, such as $K_{\text{IC}}$, properly define the toughness of nominally brittle materials, they can be insufficient for alloys displaying extensive plastic deformation and subcritical cracking, as can occur in many BMG composites. Stable crack growth in metallic glasses is not generally observed and has not been reported for monolithic metallic glasses. However, the new metallic glass-matrix composite materials exhibit considerable plasticity and thus a resistance-curve (R-curve) evaluation is warranted.

In this study, we use a nonlinear-elastic fracture mechanics (J-integral)16 characterization, including R-curve determination,17 of the toughness of in situ metallic glass-matrix composites to accurately measure the fracture resistance of these alloys, separate the critical crack-driving forces for crack initiation and growth, and to interpret behavior in terms of the prevalent mechanisms of microstructural damage and toughening.

BMG composites (DH1 and DH3 alloys) containing a ductile dendritic phase were prepared in situ by relatively rapid cooling of homogeneous $Zr_{36.6}Ti_{31.4}Nb_{12.5}Cu_{5.9}Be_{19.1}$ (DH1) and $Zr_{39.6}Ti_{31.3}Nb_{7.6}Cu_{6.4}Be_{12.5}$ (DH3) melts.5 The alloys were semi-solidly processed8 to give uniform two-phase microstructures with coarse second-phase dendrites (Fig. 1). The DH1 and DH3 microstructures comprise, respectively, 42 and 67 vol % of the latter (bcc) β-phase (interdendritic

![Color online] Z-contrast optical micrographs of the microstructure of (a) $Zr_{64.4}Ti_{11.4}Nb_{5.8}Cu_{9.6}Be_{19.1}$ (DH1) and (b) $Zr_{39.6}Ti_{13.1}Nb_{7.8}Cu_{6.4}Be_{12.5}$ (DH3) composite BMG alloys. 

FIG. 1. (Color online) Z-contrast optical micrographs of the microstructure of (a) $Zr_{64.4}Ti_{11.4}Nb_{5.8}Cu_{9.6}Be_{19.1}$ (DH1) and (b) $Zr_{39.6}Ti_{13.1}Nb_{7.8}Cu_{6.4}Be_{12.5}$ (DH3) composite BMG alloys.
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spacings ~5 and 2 μm with compositions of Ti_{42}Zr_{35}Nb_{14}Cu_{9} and Ti_{42}Zr_{36}Nb_{14}Cu_{9}) within a Zr_{34}Ti_{22}Nb_{2}Cu_{8}Be_{33} and Zr_{34}Ti_{17}Nb_{2}Cu_{8}Be_{38} matrix. The resulting alloys display high tensile ductility (>10%) with yield strengths of exceeding 1 GPa.

Single edge-notched bend SE(B) specimens were machined out of ingots with thicknesses B of 4.2–6.0 mm and widths W of 9.2–10.5 mm. For comparison, similar R-curve tests were performed on the monolithic metallic glass Vitreloy 1 (Zr_{31.2}Ti_{13.8}Cu_{12.5}Ni_{10}Be_{22.5}), and 17–7 (PH) precipitation-hardened stainless steel (AISI-631), heat treated to match the ductility, yield, and tensile strength of the composites.

R-curves were measured in terms of the J-integral to evaluate the fracture resistance as a function of crack extension Δa under a monotonically increasing load. SE(B) specimens were fatigue precracked, and then loaded under displacement control (15 μm/s) in three-point bending in a servo-hydraulic testing machine in accordance with ASTM standards. After the onset of cracking, crack extension was monitored from the elastic unloading load-line compliance and verified with electrical-potential drop measurements. Provided all validity criteria were met, K-based fracture toughness values were back-calculated from the J-measurements using the standard mode I J-K equivalence, $K_J = \sqrt{\frac{2J}{1-\nu^2}}$.

Resulting R-curves in terms of the equivalent stress intensity $K_J$ demonstrate the extensive crack-growth resistance of the composite BMG materials, compared to monolithic Vitreloy 1 which exhibits no rising R-curve (Fig. 2). Whereas immediate unstable fracture occurs at a stress intensity of 54 MPa m in Vitreloy 1, in the respective DH1 and DH3 alloys R-curves are initially steep (from crack-tip blunting), followed by stable cracking over ~1.5 mm until a steady-state “plateau” is reached at 135 and 200 MPa m. This means that by these measures, the composites are ~3-4 times tougher than their unreinforced matrices. Results for stainless steel are shown for comparison. However, these data are limited by the specimen-size requirements for J-dominance. According to ASTM Standards, the maximum J capacity for a specimen is given by the smaller of $J_{\text{max}} = \frac{b\sigma_y}{10}$ or $B\sigma_y/10$ ($\sigma_y$ is the flow stress and b (=W - a) is the uncracked ligament) and crack extension cannot exceed $\Delta a_{\text{max}} = 0.25B$; R-curve data outside these limits are invalid (Fig. 2). As the size of both DH1 and DH3 samples met this criteria, i.e., b, B = 2.6 mm, we can accurately ascribe the fracture toughness of the DH1 alloy to be $J_Q = J_{IC} = 96$ kJ/m², equivalent to a $K_{IC}$ of 97 MPa m; and the DH3 alloy to be $J_Q = J_{IC} = 282$ kJ/m², equivalent to a $K_{IC}$ of 157 MPa m; all values can be considered to be valid as per ASTM standards. The corresponding size of the 17–7 PH stainless steel samples also met all ASTM criteria, i.e., b, B ≥ 1.5 mm, such that $J_Q = J_{IC} = 74$ kJ/m².

It is important to note here that unless section sizes of 50 mm or more can be made, which is not a feasible proposition at present, LEM parameters such as $K_{IC}$ cannot be used to assess the toughness of BMG composite alloys. This is clear from Fig. 3(a) which shows the extent of gross plasticity after J-R testing. Specifically, to measure the 157 MPa m toughness in the DH3 alloy would require a 52-mm-thick specimen with a width twice this dimension.

With a J-based analysis, section sizes essentially meet validity; the current $K_{IC}$ values are thus deemed to be accurate and representative of the toughness of these materials. Consequently, in comparison to monolithic BMG alloys, where $K_{IC}$ can be as low as 20 MPa m, we can now state with assurance that these metallic glass-matrix composites exhibit toughnesses that are significantly higher than for monolithic BMGs, and further are comparable to the toughest crystalline metallic alloys at these high strength levels (>1 GPa).

During steady-state crack growth, the increase in fracture resistance is associated with the formation of an extensive plastic zone [Fig. 3(a)] and a wide distribution of damage around the crack tip [Fig. 3(b)]. Plastic deformation occurs via the development of highly organized patterns of regularly spaced shear bands distributed uniformly along the
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16. J. The nonlinear strain-energy release rate (rate of change in potential energy for a unit increase in crack area), characterizes the stress and dis- placement fields at a crack tip in a nonlinear-elastic solid and as such can be used to define the onset of fracture there. The resistance- or R-curve provides an assessment of fracture toughness in the presence of subcritical crack growth. It involves measurements of the crack-driving force, e.g., \( K \) or \( J \), as a function of crack extension (\( \Delta a \)). The driving force at \( \Delta a \rightarrow 0 \) is a measure of the crack-initiation toughness; the slope or the maximum value of the R-curve is a measure of crack-growth toughness.